

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 5449 of 2018**

1. Vikram Dhruv S/o Shri Ramkhilavan Dhruv, Aged About 31 Years R/o Shastri Chowk, Mandir Hasoud, Tahsil - Arang, Police Station - Mandir Hasoud, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Omprakash Sharma S/o Shri Shankar Lal Sharma Aged About 34 Years R/o Sector - 27, New Raipur, Police Station - Rakhi, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Nisha Tikariha Vaishnav W/o Ashok Vaishnav Aged About 33 Years R/o Girver Villa, House No. 24, Capital Home, Saddhu, Raipur, Police Station Vidhan Sabha Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Home (Police) Mahanadi, Mantralaya, Police Station And Post - Rakhi New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police (DGP) Police Headquarters (PHQ) Near Mahanadi Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi New Raipur District Raipur Chattisgarh.
3. Superintendent Of Police (SP) Special Intelligence Branch (SIB) Police Headquarters (PHQ) Near Mahanadi Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.,
4. Nisith Agrawal, Inspector (Computer) Department Of Technical Services Police Headquarters (PHQ) Near Mahanadi Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Petitioners : Shri Abhishek Pandey, Advocate.

For Respondent/State : Shri S.C. Verma, Additional AG.

**Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra, Ag. C.J. &
Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, J**

Order On Board By Prashant Kumar Mishra, Ag CJ

02/04/2019 :

1. This petition would call in question the constitutional validity of the amendment in the Chhattisgarh Police Executive (Non-Gazetted) Service Recruitment Rules, 2006 (for short 'the Rules, 2006'), as also the notification dated 6.5.2014 insofar as it relates to non-adding of the post of Inspector (Cyber Crime) in Schedule-I and IV of the Rules, 2006. The petitioners would also pray that the post of Inspector (Cyber Crime) in the Rules, 2006 be added for promotion to the next higher post.
2. In the return filed by the State, it is stated that the necessary amendment for redressal of grievance of the petitioners is already proposed and pending. In course of hearing, learned Additional Advocate General would state that necessary amendment in the Rules, 2006 shall be tabled in the next session of the State Assembly.
3. In view of the above, nothing survives in the writ petition for adjudication. Let necessary amendment in the Rules, 2006 be made in the next Assembly Session or within a period of 6 months, whichever is earlier.
4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
(Prashant Kumar Mishra)
Acting Chief Justice

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Barve