

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 4952 of 2019

- Venkata Soma Raju S/o Subba Rao Aged About 38 Years R/o Venkataramiyya Nagar, Rajmendi Namawaram, Police-Station Marampudi, District-Godawari, Andhra Pradesh

---- Applicant

Versus

- State of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Pharasgaon, Kondagaon, District-Kondagaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State/respondent	: Mr. Jitendra Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant

Order On Board

17/09/2019

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 on behalf of the applicant for grant of regular bail to him as he is in custody in connection with Crime No.33304004180042/2018 registered at Police-Station-Pharasgaon, Kondagaon, District-Kondagaon(C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 20(B)(II) C of Narcotic Drug and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant, that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. The applicant is in jail since 26.6.2018. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He

has not been committed any offence. Charge-sheet has been filed, after completion of investigation, hence, it is prayed that applicant be granted regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and the submission made in this respect. It is submitted that the applicant was in possession of huge quantity of ganja, the narcotic substance, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.
4. I have heard the learned counsel for both the parties and perused the case diary.
5. The police personnel of police-station-Pharasgaon, Kondagaon, District-Kondagoan made a seizure of 571.22 kg ganja, the narcotic substance from the possession of this applicant while he was transporting the same in a vehicle. Hence, this case.
6. Considering that huge commercial quantity of narcotic substance has been found in possession of this applicant, therefore, I do not feel inclined to allow the application of this applicant. Accordingly the application is rejected.
7. However, looking to the period of detention in jail of the applicant, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial in the case against the applicant and to decide it expeditiously, preferably within a period of 6 months from the date of receipt of this order.

Sd/-

(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge