

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5238 of 2016

B.R.Pagare S/o Late Shri Faguji Pagare, Aged About 58 Years Posted As Cooperative Inspector, Cooperative Societies, R/o C/o Ghanshyam Pagare, Ward No 13, Near Station Para, Rajnandgaon, Distirct Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh Civil And Revenue Distirct Rajnandgaon Chhattisarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Cooperative Affiaris, Mahadni Bhavan, Mantralay, New Raipur Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh
2. Registrar, Co Operative Societies Raipur Indrawati Bhavan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Deputy Registrar, Co Operative Societies, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
4. Rameshwar Prasad Kori, Aged About 51 Years Senior Co Operative Inspector, Office Of Dy. Registrar, Co Operative Societies, Raigarh, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh, District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. M. K. Sinha Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. AG

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

20/08/2019

1. The limited prayer that petitioner has made is for disposal of the writ petition with a direction to respondents to consider the representation which the petitioner has made so far as his claim for promotion to the post of Senior Co-operative Inspector is concerned.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that his representation Annexure P-8 is pending consideration for quite some time before the authorities. Therefore, appropriate direction be issued for deciding the said representation.
3. To the said limited prayer of the petitioner, respondent State do not have any objection.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to consider the representation which the petitioner has made vide Annexure P-8 at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondent No. 2 so far as order passed by this Court is concerned.
5. It is expected that respondents would be passing a reasoned order considering the contentions which the petitioner has raised in his representation. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.
6. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit