

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5403 of 2019

Dinesh Kumar Banjare S/o Shri Prahlad Banjre Aged About 31 Years Working As Assistant Grade 3 And Posted At Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Chhattisgarh Professional Examination Board, Raipur Through The Collector, Chhattisgarh, Professional Examination Board Vypam Bhawan, North Block, Sector -19, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Mr. Santosh Singh Thakur S/o Late Shri Sitaram Thakur Aged About 43 Years Occupation - Assistant Grade - 2, Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Mr. Ram Kumar Jagat Aged About 52 Years Occupation - Assistant Grade - 2, Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Mr. Hemlal Sahu Aged About 57 Years Occupation - Assistant Grade - 2, Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. B.L. Sahu, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

24/07/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that he was originally working against the District level post. However, subsequently, the respondents have called upon the petitioner and posted him at directorate whereupon the petitioner was working for quite sometime. The petitioner has also moved application for absorption.

2. Contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that the Department has already absorbed many of the persons but for some reasons the case of the petitioner has not been finalized and his case is pending consideration before the authorities. He further submits that meanwhile, the respondents have now issued an advertisement and there is all likelihood that the post against which the petitioner is working would be filled up by the regular employee and in the course, the petitioner would lose his seniority at the District Level and also would not get the benefit at the directorate.
3. Given the limited grievance which the petitioner has raised, let the respondent no.2 take a decision on the pending representation of the petitioner at the earliest preferably before the recruitment process is completed against the advertisement which has already been published. Let the petitioner make available the order passed by this Court to the respondent no.2 along with any other representation if he intends to file at the earliest.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved