

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition (S) No. 5362 of 2019**

Smt. Kamla Bhardwaj W/o Dev Narayan Bhardwaj Aged About 36 Years Working As Teacher 9nagariya Nikay) At Govt. Girls Middle School, Thankhamariya, District- Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Director Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Thankhamriya, District- Bemetara, Chhattisgarh.

**---Respondents**

---

|                |   |                                 |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Shri Govind Dewangan, Advocate  |
| For State      | : | Shri Sameer Behar, Panel Lawyer |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**23.07.2019.**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on completing 8 years of service.
2. The petitioner herein was initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioner both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale.
3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).

4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**

inder