

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5347 of 2019

Biram Bai Wd/o Late Radheshyam Gharendra Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Kujji Tahsil Dongargaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Director Directorate Of Public Instructions 1st Floor, 3rd Block, Indrawati Bhawan, Hod Building, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
5. Zila Panchayat Rajnandgaon, Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bhawan Mamta Nagar, Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Malay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

23/07/2019

1. The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the inaction on the part of the respondents in not considering the claim for compassionate appointment of the petitioner.
2. The facts of the case is that the husband of the petitioner was working as a Lecturer (Panchayat) under the respondent No.5 and was posted at the High School, Kullujangari. The husband of the petitioner died in harness on 24.01.2018. The petitioner thereafter moved an application for

compassionate appointment on 26.03.2018, but till date the application has not been decided by the respondents.

3. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition itself is disposed of with a direction to the respondents No.3 to 5 to take appropriate steps so far as the claim for compassionate appointment of the petitioner is concerned. While considering the same, the authorities are expected to take into consideration the fact that the petitioner is a widow and her claim for compassionate appointment is decided at the earliest.
4. Let a decision be taken by the respondents No.3 to 5 within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents No.3 to 5 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved