

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 5178 of 2019

1. Durgesh Kumar Yadav S/o Samme Ram Aged About 34 Years Working As Lecturer Nagriya Nikay, Govt, Boys Higher Secondary School Gurur, Block Gurur, District Balod Chhattisgarh., District : Balod, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Deeplaxmi Sharma W/o Vikas Sharma Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer, Nagriya Nikay, Govt. J.R. Dani Girls School, Raipur, Block Dharsiwa District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner - Cum - Director Directorate Of Panchayat Nayar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat, Balod, District Balod Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. Chief Municipal Officer Balod , District Balod Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Municipal Officer Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Harish Khutia, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

16.07.2019

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on completing 8 years of service.
2. The petitioners herein were initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioners both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale.

3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).
4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioners would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder