

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 4779 of 2019

Mrs. Renu Pant W/o Mr. Nitesh Pant Aged About 38 Years By Occupation Lecturer Nagriya Nikay, Govt. Girls School Shitlapara, Block Kanker, District- U.B. Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Urban Administration Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Zila Panchayat Through Chief Executive Officer, District- U.B. Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
4. Nagar Palika Parishad Through Its Chief Municipal Officer District- U.B. Kanker, Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shalvik Tiwari, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

01/07/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on completing 8 years of service.
2. The petitioner herein was initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioner both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale.
3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).

4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder