

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 4855 of 2019**

Smt. Usha Patel W/o Shri Sunil Kumar Patel Aged About 50 Years
Working As Head Master (Primary School) And Posted At
Government Navin Priary School Bokramuda, Block Raigarh,
District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Raigarh, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
4. District Education Officer, Raigarh, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Raigarh, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh
6. Block Education Officer, Block Raigarh, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Dhani Ram Patel, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Chandresh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****03.07.2019**

1. The limited prayer which the petitioner has sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioner for grant of two advance increments on her obtaining

B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.

2. The claim of the petitioner is based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by her as Shiksha Karmi is identical and similar to the government teachers and her services have also now got merged with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioner.
3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioner would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this Court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision in this regard as to whether the petitioner would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly.
4. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if she so prefers.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge