NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 4895 of 2019

Smt. K. Samakka W/o Shri Narsingh, Aged About 64 Years, Address Block Colony, Konta, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Scheduled Caste And Scheduled Tribe Development Department, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Accountant General Chhattisgarh, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Sukma District Sukma, Chhattisgarh
4. The Collector, District Sukma, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, P.L.
For Respondent no.2	:	Ms. Purnima Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

04.07.2019

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the order Annexure P-1 dated 31.01.2018 passed by the office of the Accountant General, Chhattisgarh Raipur i.e. respondent no.2. Vide the said notice, the respondent no.2 has shown a deficit balance of Rs.12,52,619/-.

2. According to the petitioner, she retired from service on the post of

Headmistress and Superintendent of the Girls Ashram, Muraguda Block Konta, District Sukma. Vide order dated 18.04.2017 respondent no.3 had issued a letter to respondent no.2 intimating that there is a credit balance of Rs.3,20,494/-. A similar letter dated 04.09.2017 was again issued by respondent no.3 to respondent no.2 showing the same figure of Rs.3,20,494/- as credit balance, yet the respondents have now issued Annexure P-1 showing a deficit balance of Rs.12,52,619/-. According to the petitioner, she has not made such withdrawals from his GPF account and that the only withdrawals that seem to have been made are reflected in Paragraph-3 of Annexure P-1. If those amounts are added together even then there would be a credit balance and it would not bring a deficit balance as is reflected in Annexure P-1.

3. Given the aforesaid facts, since the dispute can be resolved only on actual physical verification of the GPF account of the petitioner matching the GPF account maintained in the office of the Accountant General with the GPF account maintained in the office of respondent no.3, let respondent no.2 as well as respondent no.3 physically verify the GPF account of the petitioner maintained in the two offices i.e. the office of respondent no.2 and respondent no.3. Respondent no.2 is directed to ensure that the petitioner is also called upon for physical verification and the petitioner would also, if he has any documents in his possession, produce the same before the authorities for physical verification to be done. Let this exercise be completed within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the matter be finalized by a speaking order to be passed by respondent no.2. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondents 2 and 3 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned.

4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge

Khatai