

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 4498 of 2019**

Topendra Mandavi S/o Mr. Gopal Singh Mandavi Aged About 34 Years By
Occupation Lecturer (Panchayat) Govt. High S. School Halba, Block
Charama, District U.B. Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, School Education
Department, Mantralaya Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District
: Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanlaya, Naya Raipur,
District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District- Uttar Bastar, Kanker,
Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
4. Deputy Director, Local Fund Audit, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, District :
Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Shalvik Tiwari, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Sameer Behar, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****24/06/2019**

1. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents,
whereby the increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn
on the ground **that he has not** passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard
to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not
passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the
case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS**

No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated 03.11.2017) and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

3. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
4. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.
5. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit