

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 4490 of 2019

Mrs. Anita Sahu W/o Mr. Dilip Kumar Sahu Aged About 38 Years By Occupation Assistant Teacher Nagriya Nikaya, Govt. H S Shitlapara, Block Kanker District Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Urban Administration Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Janpad Panchayat Through Chief Executive Officer, Narharpur , District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
4. Nagar Palika Parishad Kanker Through Its Chief Municipal Officer, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh., District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Shalvik Tiwari, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

24/06/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on completing 8 years of service.
2. The petitioner herein was initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioner both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale.
3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ

petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).

4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder