

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 4415 of 2019

Shivendra Kumar Upadhyay S/o Bhuvneshwar Prasad Upadhyay
Aged About 45 Years Training Officer, R/o Ambagarh Chowki,
District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Koshal Vikas Technical and Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner, Department of Technical Training Education and Employment, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Keshav Prasad Gupta, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri P. Acharya, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

20.06.2019.

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that after having worked with respondent No.3 on contractual basis for around 8-9 years, his services have been discontinued w.e.f. 28.01.2019. The limited prayer which the petitioner has made is that since the petitioner substantively was a contractual employee and his contractual appointment has come to an end and that there is no unsatisfactory report against the petitioner, let the respondents take a decision whether there is need for contractual Training Officer on contractual basis or not ? The petitioner further prays that let the respondents

take a decision on the representation so made by the petitioner demanding for the renewal of the contractual appointment.

2. Given the limited relief that the petitioner has sought, this Court is of the opinion that the ends of justice would meet if the petitioner makes a suitable representation to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 within a period of two weeks from today, and on receipt of said representation, the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 shall consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate order. It is expected that the respondents, in case, are in need of contractual Training Officer, they shall consider the case of the petitioner unless the services of the petitioner was unsatisfactory or was discontinued because of some specific misconduct or nonperformance or the petitioner is being replaced by the regular Training Officer.
3. The petition is accordingly disposed of to the extent indicated herein above.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge