

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4528 of 2019

Chandradev Ram S/o Shri Tulsiram Aged About 48 Years Occupation Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School Dhouratola, R/o Village Dhouratola, Block- Ramchandrapur, Police Station Ramanujganj District Balrampur-Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development And Labour Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector Balrampur Ramanujganj, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat Balrampur Ramanujganj District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer Balrampur Ramanujganj District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
7. Deputy Director Panchayat Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
8. Block Education Officer Block- Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh
9. Baijnath Keshri Navbharat Reporter, Ramanujganj, District Balrampur Ramanujganj Chhattisgarh, District : Balrampur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Rajendra Kumar Patel, Advocate.
For State : Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

25/06/2019

1. The relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for a direction to the respondent to permit the petitioner to appear before the authorities concerned i.e. respondent No. 5 and he be permitted

to represent before the decision is taken on the enquiry which has been initiated against the petitioner.

2. At the outset, this Court is not inclined to entertain the writ petition for the reason that Annexure P/3 shows that it is only a show cause notice which has been issued to the petitioner seeking his explanation, whereby it has been held in case, if the petitioner does not submit his explanation/ reply within a stipulated period, the authorities would proceed further and take an ex-parte decision. The petitioner in the present writ petition has not complied either by submitting or showing that he has tendered his explanation / reply to the show cause notice Annexure P/3 neither he has given details of having represented before the respondent No. 5 in this regard.
3. Under the facts & circumstances of the case, reserving the right of the petitioner to appear before the respondent No. 5 by submitting his detailed reply/ explanation and the respondent No. 5 in turn may proceed further in accordance with the rules.
4. The writ petition, at this juncture, stands disposed off.

**Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge**