

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5030 of 2019

Smt. Padmavati Kashyap W/o Virendra Kashyap, Aged About 37 Years, Caste Dorla, R/o Near Hata Ground, Sadar Ward, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vikas A. Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

10/07/2019

1. Ignoring the default pointed out by the registry, with the consent of the parties the matter is heard finally and disposed off at the motion stage itself.
2. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

3. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post in Nagriya Nikay and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.
4. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**
5. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “**Shabnum Khatun**” (supra).
6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**.
7. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge