

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 3687 of 2019

Govind Ram Jogi S/o Late Shri Dol Kumar Jogi Aged About 24 Years
R/o Village Borshi, Post Kosha, Police Station And Tahsil Paamgarh,
District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station
Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Anant Bajpai, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	: Mr. K.K. Dewangan, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Singh Chandel
Order On Board

20/06/2019

1. The Applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with crime No. 425/2018, registered at Police Station – Janjgir, District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of Indian Penal Code.
2. In this case there are total 08 accused. As per the prosecution story, complainant Sandeep Kumar Mishra filed a complaint wherein, it has been stated that present Applicant along with other co-accused persons prepared forged documents. On the basis of said forged document they have obtained loan by using the name of Smt. Champa Bai. Allegedly, at the time of sanctioning loan amount, co-accused Sindhu Lala Urmala was identified by present Applicant and co-accused Khel Kumar Jangde. On the basis of said, offence has been registered. The Applicant is in custody since 14.05.2019.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Applicant submits that the Applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to some dispute. He further submits that no direct evidence available on record against the Applicant on the basis of which any offence can be made out against him. All other co-accused persons have already been granted benefit of bail by this Court. He further submits that the present Applicant has no previous antecedents, he is in custody since 14.05.2019 and trial is likely to take some time. Therefore, he may be released on bail.
4. Per contra, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application.
5. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the case diary with due care.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly considering that the Applicant has no previous antecedent and further considering that applicant is in custody since 14.05.2019 and trial will likely to take some time, without further commenting on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the Applicant on bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.
8. It is directed that the Applicant shall be released on bail on executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one solvent surety for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed.

Sd/-
(Arvind Singh Chandel)
Judge