

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4083 of 2019

Suyog Kumar Patel S/o Late Shri Laxmi Narayan Aged About 40 Years Working As Lecturer (L. B.), And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Majhgaon, Block Kota District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary Department Of School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanandi Bhawan, New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
4. District Education Officer Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Shriya Mishra, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

17/05/2019

1. The limited prayer which the petitioner has sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioner for grant of two advance increments on his obtaining B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to the appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.
2. The claim of the petitioner is based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by him as Shiksha Karmi is identical and similar to the government teachers and his services have also now got merged

with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioner.

3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioner would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision in this regard as to whether the petitioner would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly.
4. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if they so prefer.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned. The Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge