

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1871 of 2019

- Raghunath Sanwara, S/o Shri Sudan Sanwara, Aged About 65 Years, R/o Village Bhurkoni, Police Station and Tahsil Pithora, District Mahasamund, Civil and Revenue District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Rajaswa Awam Aapada Prabhandhan Bibhag, Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
3. The Tahsildar, Pithora, District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner
For Respondent-State

Shri M. K. Sinha, Advocate
Shri Avinash Singh, PL

Hon'ble Justice Mr. Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board

17/06/2019

1. Petitioner is aggrieved by inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in not issuing certificate of 22 point information to facilitate registration of sale deed of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner intends to sell his ownership land situated at Khasra No.579, 581, 583, total area admeasuring 1.53 Hectares at Village Bhurkoni, Patwari Halka No.24, RI Circle Pithora, Tahsil Pithora, District Mahasamund. He would further submit that no registration of sale deed is allowed unless the Patwari issues 22 point information

under his own signature giving the land, ownership etc. He would next submit that the petitioner had approached the Tahsildar for issuance of appropriate direction for supply of 22 point certificate, but nothing has been done.

3. Learned State counsel would submit that if the petitioner's application is pending before the Tahsildar, the same shall be decided and necessary steps would be taken as early as possible.
4. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the respondent No.3 is directed to do the needful and also instruct the subordinate officers including the Patwari to issue necessary certificate. The same shall be done within 30 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The Tahsildar shall take appropriate action against the concerned Patwari, if it is found that the Patwari is harassing the petitioner for extraneous reasons.
5. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge

Nirala