

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4024 of 2019

Ramawatar Gupta S/o Late Shri Ram Jiyawan, Aged About 63 Years, R/o Near Talab Azad Chowk, Mangala Post- Mangala, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, General Administration Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation Through Managing Director, Old Police Head Quarter, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Commissioner, Department Of Employment Provident Fund (EPF), Regional Office- Devendra Nagar, Pandari, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
5. Joint Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Directorate, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
6. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Corporation Sakti, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
7. Director, Treasury, Account And Pension Raipur, Chhattisgarh
8. Accountant General State Of Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. K. P. S. Gandhi, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Ishan Verma, P.L.
For Respondents	:	Mr. Sunil Pillai and Shri Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocates

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

17/05/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant case is that the petitioner retired from service under the respondent no.6 and he is entitled for the pensionary benefits that is otherwise payable to an employee under respondent no.6.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the PF dues which were earlier deposited with the EPF Office has since been transferred to the office of respondent no.6 and thereafter, the pension has to be paid by the office of respondent no.6.
3. The petitioner in this regard has also made a detailed representation vide Annexure P-5 which till date has not been decided.
4. Given the aforesaid factual matrix of the case, let the respondent no.6 take an appropriate decision in the case of the petitioner so far as granting of pension is concerned, particularly in the light of the EPF amount having been transferred by the EPF authorities to respondent no.6. Let an appropriate decision be taken at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Khatai