

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 4713 of 2019**

Beerbal Das Manikpuri S/o Late Dhumdas Manikpuri Aged About 66
Years R/o Village- And Post- Nariyara, District- Janjgir- Champa

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Forest Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Division Forest Officer Janjgir- Champa, District- Janjgir- Chmapa, Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Joint Director Treasury, Accounts And Pension, Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For petitioner	:	Mr. C.J.K.Rao, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Dy. G. A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board**

28/06/2019

1. Ignoring the default pointed out by the registry, with the consent of the parties, the matter is heard finally and disposed off at motion stage
2. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for a direction to the respondents to grant regular pay-scale to the petitioner under the contingency services immediately on completion of three years of service from the initial date of appointment.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in employment since 18.12.1981 and therefore he is entitled for grant of regular pay-scale after completion of three years service. He further submits that similar reliefs have already been granted to certain persons whose services were also regularized in the State Government in the year 2008. He refers to an order dated 10.03.2015 stating that the said order is in respect of identically placed persons.
4. At this juncture, learned State counsel submits that it would be better if the petitioner files a detailed fresh representation to respondent

no.3 along with all relevant documents in support of his claim including the orders of the State Government as also the orders of the High Court in other connected petitions. He further submits that in case representation is made, the respondent no.3 may decide the same at the earliest from the date of receipt of the representation.

5. The proposal of the State counsel is acceptable to the counsel for the petitioner.
6. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh representation to respondent no.3 along with all relevant documents in this regard giving benefit to similarly placed persons and on such representation being made, respondent no.3 shall dispose off the same within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of the representation.
7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion so far as the entitlement of the petitioner is concerned. The Authorities shall be free to take a decision purely in accordance with the rules governing the field.
8. No order as to costs.

Jyotijha

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE