

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1582 of 2014**

- Navin Kishore Nand, S/o Bahadur Nand Aged About 65 Years R/o Village Jalkot P.O. - Basna P.S. - Basna Tehsil - Basna District - Mahasamund Chhattisgarh- 493554

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. Through Its General Manager Having Its Office At Danganiya, Raipur, (Chhattisgarh) 492013
2. Chief Engineer, Raipur Region Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Superintending Engineer, Mahasamund Circle Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, District - Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh,
4. Executive Engineer, Saraipali, Division Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, District - Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh,
5. Assistant Engineer Electricity Distribution Center, Basna Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited District - Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
6. Madhya Pradesh Poorv Kshetra Vidyut Vitaran Company Ltd. Shakti Bhawan, PO- Vidyut Nagar Rampur, Jabalpur (Madhya Pradesh) 482008 India.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Kishore Naryan , Advocate

For Respondents : Shri K.R. Nair, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri**Order On Board****19/11/2019**

Heard.

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner retired on 31.01.2000

and the pension order which was passed on 30.03.2000 by the Senior Accounts Officer (Pension). In the column of nominee of family pension name of petitioner's wife has been struck off. It is contended that the name has been struck off without any instruction or advise of the petitioner, it was done unilaterally by the respondents. Therefore, the correction may be effected in the pension order dated 30.03.2000 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute the status of petitioner's wife i.e. Radhika Nand.
3. Considering the facts as of now no dispute exists and when the petitioner himself contends that the name of petitioner's wife should be there as beneficiary of the family pension the same requires to be corrected. The pension order dated 30.03.2000 is amended to the extent, the struck off portion be deemed to be existing. Thereby the family pension order dated 30.03.2000 in favour of Navin Kishore Nand should be read whole without considering the deleted portion or struck off part by marking.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-

Goutam Bhaduri
Judge