

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.3693 OF 2019**

Tekchand Jogi S/o Shri Gopal Prasad Aged About 44 Years Presently Working As Lecturer (Nagariya Nikay) At Government Boys Higher Secondary School, Balouda, Block Balouda, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Joint Director, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Balouda, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. Block Education Officer, Block Balouda, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Shri C Jayant K Rao, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Ishan Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

14.05.2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the [Panchayat department](#) and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post/same post under the Nagariya Nikay in the [year 2014](#) and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore [he is entitled](#) for the benefit of higher pay scale.
3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)***

4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “***Shabnum Khatun***” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors)***.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge