

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3986 of 2019

Smt. Neelima Vaishnav W/o Shri Shankar Vaishnav Aged About 29 Years
R/o Infront Of St. Michaels Higher Secondary School, Samta Nagar,
Govindpur, Kanker, District South Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Kanker, District South Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh, District : Kanker, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Mateen Siddique, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Rahul Mishra, Dy. Govt. Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

17/05/2019

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has is that, the petitioner is working on the post of Lecturer (Panchayat) and is posted at Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School, Sarona, District South Bastar Kanker since 2014.
2. According to the petitioner, she has married to one Shankar Vaishnav who is working with the High Court Establishment, Bilaspur, and therefore is in non transferable establishment. She has repeatedly made efforts between the respondents No.2&3 for transferring the petitioner from Kanker to District Bilaspur, but till date no order has been passed in this regard. Thus, prayed for an appropriate direction for the respondents No.2&3 in this regard.
3. Considering the limited relief which the petitioner has sought for, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present writ petition

stands disposed with a direction to the respondents No.2&3 to consider and take a decision on the application which the petitioner has made for transferring her from Kanker to Bilaspur. Let a decision be taken in this regard within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. While deciding the claim of the petitioner, the authorities would consider the grounds that she has raised in her representation and the decision would be subject to the satisfaction of the authorities on due verification of the facts.
5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder