

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3996 of 2019

1. Lekhraj Dewangan S/o Shri Kamal Narayan Dewangan Aged About 35 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Tamerpara, Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
2. Birendra Kumar Rajak S/o Shri Ramesh Kumar Rajak Aged About 32 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Primary School Achanakpur, Block Bilha, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
3. Dudhelal Yadav S/o Shri Punaram Yadav Aged About 36 Years Working As Assistant Teacher (Nagriya Nikay) And Posted At Govt. Boys Higher Secondary School Dhamdha, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Bodari, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

17/05/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non granting of revised pay scale on their completing 8 years of service.
2. The petitioners herein were initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and subsequently have been again taken in the Urban Administration Department. However, the Department is not accepting the total length of service rendered by the petitioners both under the Panchayat Department as well as under the Urban

Administration Department for the purpose of granting of revised pay scale.

3. Counsel for the parties fairly submit that the issue raised in the present writ petition stands squarely covered by a bunch of writ petitions decided by this Court on 27.10.2018, the leading case being WPS No. 6147 of 2018 (Shabnum Khatun Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others).
4. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed of in similar terms as in the case of Shabnum Khatun (supra). The petitioners would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to verification of the case by the Department so far as the length of service and other eligibility criteria is concerned.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

inder