

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WRIT PETITION (S) NO.3502 OF 2019**

Smt. Rambha Patel W/o Siyaram Patel Aged About 49 Years Working As Shiksha Karmi Grade III, Pramary School, Bhiuinyapara Village Dandkarwan,tehsil Pratappur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh R/o Village Pratappur District Surajpur Chhattisgarh/

**...Petitioner(s)****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Secretariat Mahanadi Bhwan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Pratappur, District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Block Education Officer Block Pratappur District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.
4. The Headmaster Primary School, Bhuinyapara, Village Dandkarwan, Tehsil Pratappur District Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent(s)**

|                      |   |                                  |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | : | Shri Sameer Behar, Advocate.     |
| For Respondent-State | : | Ms. Sunita Jain, Govt. Advocate. |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****09.05.2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present case is that initially the petitioner had been working with the respondents as a Teacher in the alternative School established by Gram Panchayat, Dandkarwan and the petitioner continued to work on the said school till Annexure P/4 was passed on 31.10.2005 when the petitioner was duly appointed by the respondent No.2 as contract Teacher Grade-III in the pay scale of Rs.2700-50-3700.
2. According to the petitioner, she received regular pay scale as per Annexure P/4 uptill June, 2008. However, from July, 2008 onwards till date the petitioner has not been paid her salary though more than 11 years have lapsed.
3. The counsel for the petitioner referred to Annexure P/5 and P/6 where there is a clear recommendation made in favour of the petitioner for

releasing salary, yet according to the petitioner she has not been paid her salary.

4. Given the nature of dispute that the petitioner has raised, let the respondents No.2&3 take an early decision on the claim of the petitioner for releasing her salary from July, 2008 onwards. Subject to verification of facts, if the petitioner has discharged her duties and has not been paid the salary for the intervening period, the respondents No.2&3 shall ensure that all necessary steps are taken for release of her salary for all these period. Let this exercise be completed at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
5. It is made clear that in case if it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the salary for the period and yet if the respondents No.2&3 failed to release the salary within a period of four months, the amount payable to the petitioner would carry interest @ 10 percent per annum from July, 2008 onwards till the amount is actually paid.
6. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents No.2&3 so far as of order of this court is concerned.
7. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P. Sam Koshy)  
**Judge**