

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3517 of 2019

Namita Kaushik W/o Shri Tejram Kaushik, Aged About 32 Years
Presently Posted As Lecturer(Panchayat) At Government High
School Sarona, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Deputy Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Municipal Corporation Raipur, Through Its Commissioner, District-
Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Dhamtari, District- Dhamtari,
Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dhamtari, District-
Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ghanshyam Kashyap, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, P.L.
For Respondent no.3	:	Mr. Abhijeet Mishra, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

09/05/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-
consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay
scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner

was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under the same employer.

2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially appointed under the Panchayat department and subsequently applied for recruitment on the higher post and taking both the services, the petitioner has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore she is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.
3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “**Shabnum Khatun**” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in **WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)**.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge