

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 3435 of 2019**

Ramsewak Jha S/o Late Shri Sarupram Jha Aged About 61 Years
Pharmacist Grade Ii, Working Under The Office, Of Police Training School,
Rajnandgaon District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon,
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Home Police Affairs Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralaya Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director General Of Police Police Head Quarter, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Additional Director General Of Police (Administration)) Police Head Quarter, Atal Nagar, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. The Superintendent Of Police Rajanandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Prakash Tiwari with Mr. Palash Tiwari, Advocates
For State	:	Mr. Ishan Verma, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****07/05/2019**

1. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been denied benefit of promotion to the post of Platoon Commander. Further grievance of the is that the petitioner has already been deprived of many of the allowances and benefits which the petitioner is otherwise legally entitled for as per rules.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already made a detailed representation in this regard to the respondent No. 2 and which is still pending consideration before the authorities since long.
3. Counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer as of now that the writ petition may be disposed off directing the respondent No. 2 to decide the pending representation of the petitioner keeping in view the amendments that has been brought to the Chhattisgarh Armed Executive Force(C.A.F.), Constable (General Duty), Assistant Platoon Commander and Head Constable (Nursing) Trade Constable(Trained/Untrained) Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 2008 . Keeping in view the rules of 2008 authority would also consider the grounds that the petitioner has raised in this writ petition and in the representation that he has made.
4. The limited prayer is not opposed by the State Counsel.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off directing the respondent No. 2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner in accordance with the rules of 2008 as amended in the year 2010 and also the contents that have been raised in the representation.
6. It is expected that respondent No. 2 shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise respondent No. 2 so far as the order passed by this Court is concerned.
7. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge