

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 3309 of 2019**

Lokesh Kumar Kosme S/o Shri Pyare Lal Aged About 33 Years Revenue Inspector Jagdalpur R.I. Quarter Near Patwari Office Pakhnaguda, Lalbaag, Jagdalpur, District Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh., District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director (Land Record) Atal Nagar, Raipur, Indravati Bhavan, First Floor, Block No. 2, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Collector (Land Records) District Bastar Chhattisgarh, District : Bastar(Jagdalpur), Chhattisgarh
4. Collector (Land Records) District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

|                |   |                                    |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate |
| For State      | : | Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. AG          |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****03/05/2019**

1. The only grievance of the petitioner in the instant Writ Petition is for change of posting from his present place where the petitioner is working.
2. The contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that, on 12/12/2017, the petitioner on promotion was transferred from Rajnandgaon to Bastar and in compliance of the said order, he had assumed his duties and is continuing his service since then. He further submits that, due to personal inconvenience and certain family problems, the petitioner has moved a

representation for a suitable change in the place of posting which is still pending consideration before the authorities. He further submits that, the respondent authorities meanwhile has decided the representation in respect of other employees who were also promoted along with the petitioner and sent to a different place.

3. Given the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, knowing fully well that it is purely within the domain of the State Government to decide the place of posting as also the tenure of an officer is concerned, this Court only disposes off the Writ Petition with a direction that since the petitioner has made a representation, the authorities may consider and decide the same at the earliest preferably within an outer limit of 90 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. The Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed off.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Rohit