

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 3091 of 2019

Jaishri Kesharwani D/o Shri Deenbandhu Kesharwani, Aged About 44 Years, Occupation - Revenue Inspector, R/o Dhagardeepa, Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Revenue Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralay, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Land Records, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Collector (Land Record) Raigarh, District Raigarh, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vineet Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Jitendra Pali, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

29/04/2019

1. The limited relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Revenue Inspector.
2. According to the petitioner, she is presently discharging the duties of Patwari and is entitled and also fulfills all the requisite eligibility criteria for promotion to the post of Revenue Inspector. It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that recently when the promotion process was initiated, the petitioner's claim was left out on account of a pending departmental enquiry. He refers to Annexure P-3 dated 18.01.2019

whereby the departmental enquiry has now come to an end only by issuance of a “caution” letter to the petitioner which according to the petitioner would not fall within the ambit of a penalty. According to the petitioner, since the departmental enquiry has now got concluded and she has not been inflicted with any punishment except for issuance of caution, the petitioner should be considered for promotion by the respondent authorities.

3. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has, let respondents 1 & 2 consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Revenue Inspector keeping in mind the seniority and eligibility of the petitioner and further that the departmental enquiry initiated against the petitioner has now come to an end without the petitioner being inflicted with any punishment. Let the petitioner make a fresh representation to respondents 1 & 2, in addition to the representation that she has already made in past, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and respondents 1 & 2 shall decide the same within a further period of 90 days thereafter.
4. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge