

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3063 of 2019

Khamhan Das Sonwani S/o Balak Das Aged About 49 Years Working As Suraksha Shramik As Daily Wager At Sthai Ropani, Pali, Forest Range, Division - Khatghora District Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Forest, Naya Raipur, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Police Station Rakhi, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Divisional Forest Officer O/o Divisional Forest Officer, Forest Division, Katghora, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
3. Sub Divisional Forest Officer O/o Sub Divisional Forest Office, Forest Sub Division, Pali, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
4. Forest Range Officer O/o Forest Range Office, Pali, District Korba Chhattisgarh.
5. Conservator Of Forest Bilaspur Circle, O/o Conservator Of Forest, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondent

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

26/04/2019

1. The relief which the petitioner has sought for in the present writ petition is for an appropriate direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization in service.
2. According to the petitioner, he has been working with the respondents as a *Suraksha Shramik* on daily wage basis since 01.09.1994. According to the petitioner, he has already put in about 25 years of service with the respondents on daily wages and taking into consideration the circular of the State Government dated 05.03.2008 and also the subsequent circulars issued by the State Government for regularization, the Department ought to have considered the case of the petitioner for regularization.

3. The petitioner further submits that even the Department has meanwhile vide Annexure P/3 found the petitioner suitable for regularization and has also made a recommendation in favour of the petitioner's regularization, but no final decision has been taken by the Department as of now.
4. Given the aforesaid factual matrix of the case as it stands, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents No.2 & 5 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioner for regularization at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved