

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 2776 of 2019**

1. K. Lupteshwar Rao S/o Late K. Kashipati Aged About 51 Years Posted As Headmaster Primary School, Ilimedi, Bloc - Usoor, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
2. R. Nageshwar S/o Ramaiya Aged About 52 Years Posted As Headmaster, Middle School, Pusgudi, Bloc - Usoor, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
3. Amit Hai S/o Late Abdul Hai Aged About 51 Years Posted As Headmaster, Boys Residential School, Dugaiguda, Bloc - Usoor, District Bijapur Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh
4. Majji Murlidhar S/o Majji Narayan Aged About 55 Years Posted As Headmaster, Primary School, Sodipara, Usoor, Blco - Usoor, District Bijapur, Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioners****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indravati Bhawan, New Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The District Education Officer District Bijapur Chhattisgarh., District : Bijapur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

|                |   |                            |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Kapil Maini, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Mr. Ishan Verma, PL        |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****11/04/2019**

1. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in the present writ petition is that the petitioners became entitled for the benefit of time pay

scale w.e.f. July, 2013 but the same has been granted to the petitioners only w.e.f. 16.02.2018 and as such the petitioners have substantially been put to monetary loss for a period of about 5 years.

2. Counsel for the petitioners submits that they ought to have been paid the benefit of time pay scale from the date they have completed 20 years of service which in the present case in respect of all the petitioners was from July, 2013.
3. Perusal of the record would show that the petitioners have not made any representation in this regard to the respondents ventilating their grievances.
4. Given the said fact, let the petitioners approach the Department for redressal of their grievance first by making a suitable representation within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. On such representation being made within the stipulated period, respondent no.2 is directed to take a decision on the said representation at the earliest keeping in view the government circulars and instructions governing the field within an outer limit of 4 months from the date of receipt of representation of the petitioners.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Rohit