

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2627 of 2019**

1. Laxminarayan Soni S/ O Shri Santosh Prasad Aged About 42 Years R/o Ward No.11 Sanjay Nagar, Champa, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Rajendra Marbal S/o Shri Gorelal Marbal Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Devri Post Katnai Police Station Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Vinit Makhija S/o Shri Sew Singh Aged About 46 Years R/o Ward No. 05, Thana Para, Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Urban Administration And Development Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Chief Municipal Officer Municipal Council, Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh., District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Ashwani Shukla, Advocate.
----------------	---	-------------------------------

For State	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Dy. GA
-----------	---	--------------------------

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****09/04/2019**

1. Grievance of the petitioner is that though the petitioner is working as daily wager before 31.12.1997, but the petitioner's case is not being considered for his regularization in accordance with the circular of the State Government dated 5-3-2008.

2. In reply to above, State counsel submits that if the petitioner files fresh representation along with copy of the petition, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. If the petitioner files fresh representation along with copy of the petition, then competent authority of the respondent authorities are directed to consider and decide the petitioner's case for regularization strictly in accordance with State Government's circular dated 5-3-2008 issued in compliance of the decision of the Supreme Court in Secretary, State of Karnataka and Others v. Umadevi (3) and Others¹, and also considering the subsequent circulars issued by the State Government in this regard on its own merits and in accordance with law, as early as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of representation.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representation, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge