

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2915 of 2019

Visharjan Kumar Verma S/o Shri Suresh Verma Aged About 35 Years
Occupation Asstt. Teacher (Lb) Govt. Primary School, Vill-Sakari, P.S. And
Tah- Pallari, District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through, The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Director Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Indravati Bhavan D - Block, 4th Floor, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Secretary Department Of Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. The Director Department Of Public Instruction, Pension Bada, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer District Balodabazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
6. The Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Pallari, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara.

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Azad Siddique, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Shriya Mishra, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order on Board

18/04/2019

1. The claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for promotion from the post of Assistant Teacher (LB) to the post of Teacher (LB) since the petitioner fulfills all the requisite eligibility criteria required for promotion to the post of Teacher (LB).
2. According to the petitioner, the department has not taken any steps for promotion. In case, for any reason, the department is not able to provide promotion to the petitioner, at least the petitioner's case be considered for grant of benefit of Kramonnati.

3. Given the nature of dispute that the petitioner has raised, let the petitioner makes a suitable representation in this regard to the respondents No.4&5, who in turn, shall consider the merits of the representation which the petitioner makes and decide as to whether the petitioner is entitled for promotion. If not, at least the petitioner is entitled for Kramonnati or not.
4. Let the respondents No.4&5 take appropriate decision at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of representation.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge