

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2835 of 2019

1. Ajay Mishra S/o Shyam Lal Mishra Aged About 42 Years R/o Jawahar Nagar Banras Chowk, Ambikapur, Police Station Ambikapur, Tehsil Ambikapur District Sarguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
2. Narendra Sinha S/o Late Suresh Prasad Sinha Aged About 42 Years R/o Hotel Awadh Joda Pipal Ambikapur, Police Station Ambikapur, Tehsil Ambikapur, District Sarguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Koshal Vikas Technical And Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Department Of Technical Training Education And Employment, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Principal Industrial Training Institute, Ambikapur, District Sarguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
4. Shri Ram Sanehi Verma Training Officer, Government ITI, Ambikapur, District Sarguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh
5. Shrimati Rajpati Mehta Training Officer, Government ITI, Ambikapur District Sarguja Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Suyash Dhar, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Abhyunnati Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

20/08/2019

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is the inaction on the part of the respondents in not continuing the contractual appointment of the petitioners and thereby replacing the petitioners by another set of contractual employees.
2. Perusal of the record would show that after the discontinuance of the services of the petitioners, the Department has already initiated steps and

have appointed the respondents No. 4 & 5 on contractual basis. Thus, the post against which the petitioners were working at one point of time already stands filed up.

3. Nonetheless, this Court would like to dispose of the writ petition with an observation that the petitioners may approach the respondent No.3 in respect of their claim as contractual employees and the respondent No.3 in case if they intend to take services on contractual basis, the petitioners may be considered for the said contractual appointment considering their past services that they have rendered unless the services of the petitioners were either unsatisfactory or were discontinued for any misconduct.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved