

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2456 of 2019

1. Smt. Chanda Yadav W/o Shri Chhote Lal Yadav Aged About 60 Years Head Mistress, Govt. Girls Middle School Jhalmala District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
2. Sant Kumar Dhaneliya S/o Late Bhola Ram Aged About 57 Years Govt. Middle School Naya Para Balod, District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
3. Dev Narayan Pal S/o Late Baratu Ram Aged About 58 Years Head Master Govt. Higher Secondary School Bailodi District Balod Chhattisgarh.
4. Prithviraj Sahu S/o Late P. R. Sahu Aged About 59 Years Head Master Govt. Pro Girls Middle School District Balod, Chhattisgarh.
5. Vishal Singh Bijhekar S/o Late S. Bijhekar Aged About 59 Years Head Master Govt. Pro Girls Middle School District Balod, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary School Education Department Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director Public Instructions Chhattisgarh Indrawati Bhawan Atal Nagar New Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer Balod District Balod Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer Durg District Durg, Chhattisgarh.
5. Treasury Officer Treasury Account And Pension Balod District Balod Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

04/04/2019

1. After arguing for sometimes, the counsel for the petitioners prays that the matter may be disposed of permitting the petitioners to make a fresh representation, in addition to the earlier representation, which they are made in respect of their claim for grant of regular payscale from the date of initial appointment.
2. The contention of the petitioners is that though the claim of the petitioners on an earlier occasion had been rejected by the department, but

subsequently, the same department in other districts have granted the said benefits to other similarly placed persons, and therefore, according to the petitioners, the rejection of their earlier claim was not justified.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, so far as their entitlement are concerned, the writ petition stands disposed of holding that subject to the petitioners' making a fresh representation within a period of 3 weeks from today supported with all relevant documents in respect of their claim, the respondent No.1 shall take a decision on the representation, so claimed by the petitioners at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case, if it is found that the petitioners are entitled for, then appropriate steps should be taken for implementation of the same at the earliest.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved