

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****Writ Petition (S) No. 2304 of 2019**

Pardeshi Ram Chandra S/o Late Dev Singh Chandra, Aged About 55 Years, Skill Assistant (Carpentry), Govt. Polytechnic College, Korba, Roomgara Balco Nagar, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Technical Education Department, Mantralaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Finance Department, Mantralaya, Raipur District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. The Director, Technical Education Department, Directorate, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. The Principal, Government Polytechnic College, Korba, District Korba Chhattisgarh
5. The Joint Director, Treasury Accounts And Pension Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                |   |                                |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Keshav Dewangan, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Mr. Samir Behar, P.L.          |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order On Board****05.04.2019**

1. The limited grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is not

provided the benefit of annual increment for the period during which he has served the department as an ad hoc employee.

2. According to the petitioner, vide Annexure P-1, he was appointed on ad hoc basis with the respondents and since then he has been working with the respondents. Though initially there was some artificial break, subsequently the petitioner stood regularized in service vide order dated 08.10.2010 w.e.f. 22.09.2010 and since then the petitioner is being paid regular salary. However, the petitioner has not been granted the annual increment that he was entitled for the ad hoc service that he has rendered.
3. Given the limited grievance that the petitioner has raised, let respondents 3 & 4 process the claim of the petitioner in the light of the circulars of the State Govt. applicable at the relevant point of time particularly Annexure P-2 dated 18.08.1993 and take a decision as to whether the petitioner is entitled for annual increments or not. If the petitioner is entitled, let suitable order be passed in this regard at the earliest preferably within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

**Sd/-**  
**P. Sam Koshy**  
**Judge**