

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2829 of 2019**

1. Smt. Seema Verma W/o Shri Omprakash Verma, Occupation - Asstt. Teacher (Lb), Govt. Boys Pri. School, Village - Kukda, Pallari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh. R/o Baghel Colony, Tahsil And Police Station Pallari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration And Development Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Director Department Of Urban Administration And Development, Indravati Bhavan D - Block, 4th Floor, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Secretary Department Of Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. The Director Department Of Public Instruction, Pension Bada, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer District Balodabazar Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh
6. The Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Pallari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara

Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Azad Siddiqui, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Saleem Kazi, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****15/04/2019**

1. The claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for promotion from the promotion of Assistant Teacher (LB) to the post of Teacher (LB) since the petitioners fulfills all the requisite eligibility criteria required for promotion to the post of Teacher (LB).

2. According to the petitioner, the department has not taken any steps for promotion. In case, for any reason, the department is not able to provide promotion to the petitioner, at least the petitioner's case be considered for grant of benefit of Kramonnati.
3. Given the nature of dispute that the petitioner has raised, let the petitioner make a suitable representation in this regard to the respondents No. 4 & 5, who in turn, shall consider the merit of the representation which the petitioner makes and decide as to whether the petitioner is entitled for promotion. If not, at least the petitioner is entitled for Kramonnati or not.
4. Let the respondents No. 4 & 5 take appropriate decision at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of representation.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge