

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.2799 OF 2019**

Smt.Uma Bharti Verma W/o Shrii Jeevan Lal Verma Aged About 45 Years
Occupation Assistant Teacher (LB) Govt. Pri. School Tipawan, District
Balodabazar Bhatapara, R/o Village Dhotia, Post Balodabazar Bhatapara
Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Urban Administration And Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Director Department of Urban Administration and Development, Indravati Bhawan D - Block, 4th Floor, Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. The Secretary Department Of Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. The Director Department Of Public Instruction, Pension Bada, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer District Balodabazar Bhatapara.
6. The Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Panchayat, Pallari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Shri Azad Siddique, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Jitendra Pali,Dy. Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****12.04.2019**

1. The claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for promotion from the post of Assistant Teacher (LB) to the post of Teacher (LB) since the petitioner fulfills all the requisite eligibility criteria required for promotion to the post of Teacher (LB).
2. According to the petitioner, the department has not taken any steps for promotion. In case, for any reason, the department is not able to provide promotion to the petitioner, at least the petitioner's case be considered for grant of benefit of Kramonnati.
3. Given the nature of dispute that the petitioner has raised, let the petitioner make a suitable representation in this regard to the respondents No.4&5, who in turn, shall consider the merits of the

representation which the petitioner makes and decide as to whether the petitioner is entitled for promotion. If not, at least the petitioner is entitled for Kramonnati or not.

4. Let the respondents No.4&5 take appropriate decision at the earliest preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of representation.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge