

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2129 of 2019**

1. Smt. Prabha Upadhyay W/o Shri Nandkishor Upadhyay, Aged About 45 Years, Lecturer (L.B.) Working At Govt. Girls Hr. Sec. School, Charama, Block-Charama, District- Kanker, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Saroj Deshmukh W/o Shri Umakant Deshmukh, Aged About 45 Years, Lecturer (L.B.), Govt. Hr. Sec. School Puri, Block-Charama, District- Kanker, Chhattisgarh
3. Umakant Deshmukh S/o Shri Romlal Deshmukh, Aged About 51 Years, Lecturer (L.B.) Govt. Hr. Sec. School Puri, Block-Charama, District- Kanker, Chhattisgarh
4. Nohar Lal Dhanendra S/o Shri Nand Lal Dhanendra, Aged About 37 Years, Lecturer (L.B.) Govt. Hr. Sec. School Puri Block-Charama, District- Kakner, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Public Instruction Chhattisgarh, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. District Education Officer, Kanker, District- Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri Somkant Verma, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Anshuman Shrivastava, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

26/03/2019

(1) Heard.

(2) Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are entitled for Kramonnati as per circular dated 03.10.2008 and 11.01.2010 after completion of 24 years of service. He submits that the issue involved in the present petition has already been considered and decided by this Court in the matter of R.S. Verma Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Others (W.P.(S) No.2805/2002 by judgment and order dated 29.08.2006. He further submits that the petitioners may be granted liberty to make a representation to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter of State of Tripura and Others Vs. K.K. Roy (2004 SCW/1) and the order passed in R.S. Verma (supra) to which learned counsel for the respondent/State has no objection.

(3) Accordingly, the liberty sought for by the petitioners is granted to make a representation before the concerned authority. It is expected from the competent authority to decide the representation of the petitioners as expeditiously as possible in an objective manner preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(4) In view of above, the petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE