

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO.2064 OF 2019**

Roshan Verma S/o Shri S.S. Verma Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer Panchayat, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Karmari Mohla, Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh, R/o Shanta Nagar, Bhilai, District- Durg, Chhattisgarh.

...Petitioner(s)**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director, Panchayat Department, Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
5. Principal, Govt. Higher Secondary School, Karmari, Block- Mohla, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh, District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Shri KS Pawar and Shri Shashi Kumar Kushwaha, Advocates.
For Respondent-State	:	Shri Ishan Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****25.03.2019**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the instant case is that the petitioner who was earlier placed under suspension on 04.12.2018 by the respondent No.4 has subsequently been reinstated in service on revocation of suspension vide order dated 22.02.2019, but the reinstatement has been ordered at a different place and different block.
2. The petitioner thus has filed this writ petition to the extent challenging the change of place of posting.
3. Given the said facts that the petitioner's suspension order dated 04.12.2018 has been revoked vide order dated 22.02.2019, this court is of the opinion that so far as the place of posting of the

petitioner is concerned, the same can be considered only by the competent authority in the department i.e. respondent No.4. Therefore, let the petitioner make a suitable representation in respect of his grievance to the respondent No.4 in addition to any representation that he has already made and on receipt of such representation the respondent No.4 may consider and then decide the same at the earliest in accordance with rules governing the field.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge