

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 1946 of 2019**

Satya Narayan Lakra @ Bablu S/o Majhram Urao Aged About 26 Years R/o Village- Podi Khurd, Police Station- Dharima, District- Surguja, Civil And Revenue District- Surguja, Chhattisgarh., District : Surguja (Ambikapur), Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, District- Raigarh, Chhattisgarh., District : Raigarh, Chhattisgarh .

---- Respondent

For the Applicant	:	Shri Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Shri Wasim Miyan, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sharad Kumar Gupta

Order On Board**04/04/2019**

1. This is the first bail application under Section 439 of the CrPC and there is no bail application is pending before any other Court.
2. Perused the case diary provided by the learned counsel for the State in connection with the Crime No.249/17 registered at Police Station Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 379, 414, 34 of IPC.
3. Case of the prosecution, in brief is that in the intervening night of 05/09/2017 and 06/09/2017 in front of house of the complainant Seema Shrivastava at Village Siringa her Mahindra Tractor bearing registration number CG 13 L 5175 and Trolley No. C.G. 13 A 1710 had been stolen by some unknown persons.
4. There is a memorandum of applicant but there is no seizure in instance of that memorandum which is not admissible in evidence.
5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is innocent and falsely implicated in the present case, therefore, he shall be released on bail.
6. On the other hand, counsel for the State opposes the bail application. He further submits that no criminal antecedents against the applicant.
7. As per Section 27 of the Indian Evidence Act, an accused of any offence gives some information in custody and in consequence thereof, any fact is discovered then such portion of the information is admissible as is distinctly relates to the discovery. Such information may confessional or not.
8. Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Madhu vs. State of Kerla (2012) 2 SCC 399** has laid down the following judicial precedent :-

'Relevance of the confessional statements would depend upon discovery of unknown facts based on information supplied by accused if any fresh fact have been discovered on basis of confessional statement made by accused, the same

would be relevant. If not, confessional statement cannot be proved against the detriment of accused.'

9. Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Jitendra Kumar vs. State of Haryana (2012) 6 SCC 204 (to be taken out from Library)** has laid down the following judicial precedent :-

'What has been recorded in disclosure statement of accused cannot be taken to be confession of accused in relation to commission of the crime but other part by which the motorcycle was recovered would be portion admissible in evidence. Admissible part can be safely segregated from inadmissible part in this statement.'

10. Looking to the provision of Section 27 of the Evidence Act and aforesaid judicial precedents, laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Madhu** (supra) and **Jitendra Kumar** (supra), this court finds that the information given by an accused through memorandum is admissible in evidence to such extent it relates to the discovery of some incriminating article.
11. The Additional Session Judge, Gharghoda, District Raigarh (C.G.) overlooked this well settled legal principle which should have been considered.
12. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, looking to the fact that there is no likelihood of the accused to abscond and tamper the evidence, it is directed that if the applicant furnishes one solvent surety for a sum of Rs. 25,000/- along with a personal bond in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned Court with the condition that he shall appear before the trial Court at 11.00 am as and when directed till trial and he would cooperate during the trial, he shall be released on bail.
13. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sharad Kumar Gupta)
Judge