

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WP No. 3055 of 2004**

S.K. Pramanik, S/o. Late Shri Phanibhushan Pramanik, Aged about 52 years, Sangadak, Posted at P.H.C. Lohandiguda, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Health, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Director, Health Services, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Medical & Health Officer, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh
4. Shri M.R. Sahu, Sangadak, P.H.C. Darbha, District Bastar Chhattisgarh
5. Shri N.P. Bhattacharjee, Sangadak, P.H.C. Badekilepal, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	None
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, G.A.

AND**WPS No. 7494 of 2007**

Bihari Lal Verma, S/o. Shri Bharat Lal Verma, Aged about 47 years, R/o. Hotel Gopika Circuit House Rod, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through its Secretary, Department of Health and Family Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director, Directorate, Health Services, Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. The Chief Medical & Health Officer, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	None
For State	:	Ms. Sunita Jain, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

06/02/2019

1. The substantial grievance of the two writ petitioners herein was in fact the promotion granted to Mr. M.R. Sahu and another on 05.12.2002 w.e.f. 15.03.1986 ignoring their claims inspite of the fact that they were senior to Mr. M.R. Sahu and also being fully eligible and competent for the promotion.
2. The State Government had subsequently cancelled the promotion of the petitioners vide their order dated 28.01.2009. The said order has been set-aside by this Court in WPS No. 892/2009 and the liberty has been given to the State Government for passing a fresh order altogether.
3. Since the matter now rest with the State Government, the present two writ petitions also are being disposed of with a direction that while the State Government considers the claim of Mr. M.R. Sahu, (the petitioner in WPS No. 892/2009, which stood disposed of vide order dated 06.02.2019), the respondents shall simultaneously also consider the claim of the two petitioners herein for similar relief taking into consideration the inter-se seniority of the petitioners with that of Mr. M.R. Sahu.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the present two writ petitions stand disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge