

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 2219 OF 2019**

Mr. Jagat Ram Ware, aged about 42 years, S/o Mr. Dayaram Ware, by occupation Lecturer (Panchayat), Govt. H.S.S. Oramuda, Block Tamnar, District Raigarh(CG)

... Petitioner

versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through its Secretary, Education Department, Mahanandi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District Raigarh (CG)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate.
For Respondents 1 & 2	:	Mrs. Sunita Jain, Govt. Advocate.
For Respondent 3	:	Mr. Vidya Bhushan Soni, Advocate, on behalf of Mr. R.S. Baghel, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

09/08/2019

1. Grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the non-acceptance of the past service rendered by him as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III under the Panchayat Department for the purpose of absorption.
2. The case of the petitioner is that he was initially appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III vide order dated 15.6.2010 under the Panchayat Department i.e. respondent no.3 herein. Thereafter, the petitioner after obtaining NOC appeared in the selection process for the post of Lecturer (Panchayat) and got selected vide order dated 29.1.2011 and has been appointed on the said post and continues to work on the said post till date.
3. Grievance of the petitioner now is that, if the initial date of appointment of 15.6.2010 is taken note of the petitioner completes 8 years of service on 14.6.2018 and therefore in terms of the circular of the State Government, dated 30.6.2018, the petitioner would be entitled for absorption, which in the instant case is not being given effect to so far as the petitioner is concerned.
4. Counsel for the petitioner refers to the circular of the State Government, dated 13.7.2018, which is the clarificatory circular to the original circular dated 30.6.2018, wherein it has been specifically held by the State Government that the

persons who were working on the lower post after obtaining NOC have appeared and got selected on the higher post, their earlier service rendered on the lower post shall be counted for the purpose of absorption. According to the petitioner, invoking the said circular, as clarified on 13.7.2018, the petitioner's case is liable to be considered for absorption.

5. Given the aforesaid facts and the circular dated 30.6.2018 modified on 13.7.2018, the respondents no. 1 and 3 are directed to immediately process the case of the petitioner in accordance with the aforesaid circulars and take an appropriate decision at the earliest preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

6. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents so far as the order passed by this court is concerned.

7. The petitioner would also be at liberty to file a fresh representation, if he so wants.

8. The Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

/sharad/