

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1888 of 2019

Laxmi Sahu W/o Mr. Dhaneshwar Lal Aged About 36 Years By Occupation
Asiistant Teacher Panchayat, Nagar Nigam, Govt. Primary School Ncdc
Korba And District Korba Chhattisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural
Development Department, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur
Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Municipal Corporation Korba Through Its Commissioner, Municipal
Corporation Korba Chhattiisgarh., District : Korba, Chhattisgarh
3. Secretary, Urban Administration Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur,
District Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Dy. GA

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

15/03/2019

1. The dispute raised in the present writ petition is the non-
consideration of the case of the petitioner for grant of revised pay
scale on completion of 8 years of service. The denial to the petitioner
was that the petitioner has not completed 8 years of service under
the same employer.
2. The grievance of the petitioner was that the petitioner was initially
appointed under the [Panchayat department](#) and subsequently
applied for recruitment on the higher post under the [Municipal
Council](#) in the [year 2013](#) and taking both the services, the petitioner

has completed more than 8 years of service and therefore he is entitled for the benefit of higher pay scale.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court in a bunch of writ petitions disposed off on 27.10.2018. The lead case of which being ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)***
4. This aspect is not disputed by the State counsel so far as the matter being similar to the one passed in the case of “***Shabnum Khatun***” (supra).
5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case the present writ petition also deserves to be and is accordingly disposed off in similar terms to the order passed in ***WPS No. 6147/2018 (Shabnum Khatun v. State of Chhattisgarh & Ors.)***.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands allowed. The petitioner would be entitled for the benefit as prayed for subject to the verification of the case by the Department.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit