

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 1885 of 2019**

Mrs. Ambeshwari Tirsuniya W/o Mr. Kewal Singh Tirsuniya, Aged About 33 Years By Occupation Lecturer Panchayat, Government H. S. Parvi, Block Antagarh, District Uttar Bastar Kanker Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Commissioner Cum Director, Panchayat, Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, District U.B. Kanker Chhattisgarh.
4. Deputy Director, Local Fund Audit, Jagdalpur Chhattisgarh.

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Dy. G. A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****15/03/2019**

1. Ignoring the defaults pointed out by the Registry, with the consent of the parties the matter is heard finally and disposed of at the motion stage itself.
2. The Petitioner is aggrieved by the action of the Respondents, whereby the increment granted to the Petitioner is being withdrawn on the ground **that she has not** passed B.Ed/D. Ed examination.
3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the issue with regard to withdrawal/non-grant of increment on the ground of having not passed B.Ed/D.Ed examination was examined by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle v. State of Chhattisgarh (WPS No.4271 of 2017 and batch of petitions, vide order dated**

03.11.2017) and various directions have been issued for affording proper opportunity of hearing and then decide the matter.

4. Learned Counsel for the State submits that the Petitioner's claim would be examined after affording opportunity of hearing in terms of order dated 03.11.2017 passed in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra).
5. Considering the aforesaid submission, this petition is finally disposed of, with a direction to the Respondents for examination of Petitioner's claim in terms of order passed by this Court in the case of **Jayant Kumar Patle** (supra) and take appropriate decision thereon.
6. The writ petition stands accordingly disposed off.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge