

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1519 of 2019

Satraj Singh S/o Shri Rijhan Singh, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Dhanpuri,
Gram Panchayat Nagara, Janpad Panchayat Ramchandrapur, District
Balrampur – Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural
Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur,
District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, School Education Department, State Of Chhattisgarh,
Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Collector, Balrampur, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Ramchandrapur, District
Balrampur-Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh
5. Shiksha Mission Sanchalak, District Balrampur - Ramanujganj
Chhattisgarh
6. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Nagara, Janpad Panchayat,
Ramchandrapur, District Balrampur -Ramanujganj, Chhattisgarh

---Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Badal Lal Bhardwaj, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Rahul Mishra, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

06/03/2019

1. The limited grievance which the petitioner has raised in the present writ
petition is that he had worked as a Guruji between 02.02.1999 to
04.08.2000 when his services were discontinued.
2. According to the petitioner, some similarly placed persons subsequently
had filed a writ petition which stood disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to decide the representation of the petitioners therein. According to the petitioner, while deciding the representation of those petitioners, the respondents have granted an order of appointment in their favour which has prompted the present petitioner to approach this Court now.

3. On a query being put to the counsel for the petitioner, he states that he is unaware of the educational qualification of the persons who have been appointed and were similarly placed. The petitioner was also unable to produce any document with which it could be established that without even fulfilling the minimum eligibility criteria whether the petitioner could have been granted appointment as a Shiksha Karmi under the respondents.
4. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, since the petitioner has made a representation to the authorities, let the authority concerned take a decision on the representation of the petitioner in accordance with its merit.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the entitlement of the petitioner or on the merit of the case. The authorities are expected to pass an order purely in accordance with the rules and regulations governing the field and take a decision on the representation of the petitioner within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
6. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge