

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 1451 of 2019

Sankalp Bharti S/o N. R. Bharti, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Mahuapara,
Post- Fundurdihari, Police Station- Gandhinagar, Tahsil- Ambikapur,
District- Surguja, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, General Administrative Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Surguja, Ambikapur, District- Surguja, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

29/03/2019

1. The challenge in the present writ petition is to the advertisement Annexure P-1 dated 11.09.2018.
2. The challenge is on the ground that the conditions stipulated by the authorities concerned do not match the guidelines which have been issued by the Department.
3. This Court, at the out set, does not find it to be a strong case for the reason that if we consider the eligibility criteria as provided under the

advertisement and also taking note of the conditions stipulated in the guidelines Annexure P-2 dated 01.02.2013, it would reveal that there is only a slight difference in the conditions stipulated in both and it appears that in the advertisement under challenge, there is only a minimum bench mark that has been prescribed for appointment on the post of steno-typist. Fixing up a minimum bench mark is not arbitrary or malafide particularly in case of recruitment. It is always within the domain of the employer to prescribe a particular process of selection and also for fixing of a minimum bench mark.

4. The writ petition thus being devoid of merit stands dismissed.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Khatai