

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 1225 of 2019

1. Ku. Reena Sharma D/o Shri Barmanand Sharma, Aged About 50 Years, Presently Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School, Kenda, Block Kota, District – Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Sarita Yadav D/o Gendram Yadav, Aged About 36 Years, Presently Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Government Higher Secondary School, Kenda, Block Kota, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
3. Smt. Swati Jain W/o Shri Samir Singhai, Aged About 45 Years, Presently Working As Teacher (L.B.) At Government Middle School, Silpahri, Block Kota, District – Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur District - Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary, Department Of Education, Mantralaya, Police Station Rakhi, Tahsil Aarang, Naya Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Bilaspur, District – Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer, Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.
5. Block Education Officer Kota, District – Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri C.J.K.Rao, Advocate.
For State	:	Shri Rahul Mishra, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

22/02/2019

1. The limited prayer which the petitioners have sought in this writ petition is for a direction to respondent no.1 to take a decision on the claim of the petitioners for grant of two advance increments on their obtaining

B.Ed./D.Ed. certificate both prior to appointment as well as subsequent to the appointment which is being otherwise provided to the Govt. teachers.

2. The claim of the petitioners is based on the fact that the nature of duties discharged by them as Shiksha Karmis is identical and similar to the government teachers and their services has also now got merged with the Education Department. Therefore, the benefits which are being extended to the government teachers should also be extended to the petitioners. The petitioners in this regard has made representations to the respondents which are collectively marked in the petition as Annexure P-4.

3. Given the nature of dispute and also the fact that the claim of the petitioners would require a policy decision on the part of the State Govt., this court does not intend to keep the petition pending any further, rather ends of justice would meet if the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 & 2 to take a decision in this regard as to whether the petitioners would also be entitled for similar benefits as are being granted to the regular government teachers. It is ordered accordingly. Let this exercise be concluded within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioners to bring the order of this Court to the notice of respondents 1 & 2 along with any fresh representation, if they so prefer.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the case so far as the entitlement of the petitioners are concerned. The

Respondents shall be at liberty to take a decision independently.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE

Sumit