

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPCR No. 99 of 2019

Lekhraj Khatkar, S/o. Shri V. L. Khatkar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o. Vishram Nagar, Jhabar, Dipka, Tehsil Katghora, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Home Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police, Police Head Quarters, District- Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Inspector General Of Police, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Superintendent Of Police, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.
5. City S. P. Darri, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.
6. Station House Officer, Dipka Police Station, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.
7. Chairman Cum Managing Director, SECL Head Quarters, District- Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
8. Rakesh Saha, R/o. MQ/419A Azad Chowk, Dipka Colony, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.
9. G V N Sharma, R/o. ACB India Ltd. Quarters, Nehru Nagar, Village Batari, Pali Road, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.
10. Avinash Shukla, R/o. C-42 Opposite Shiv Mandir, Gevra Project, District- Korba, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Shital Soni, Advocate

For State : Ms. Shivali Dubey, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Goutam Bhaduri

Order On Board

21.02.2019

Heard

1. The present petition is filed seeking the following reliefs :
 - (i) That, Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the Respondent Authority (Police) to arrest the accused persons i.e. Respondent No.9 & 10.
 - (ii) That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the investigating agency to interrogate the matter fairly and impartially within stipulated period.

(iii) That, kindly issue a writ of mandamus directing Respondent No.7 to immediately suspend the Respondent No.10 and to hold all the post-retirement benefits towards Respondent No.9, till the completion of judiciary process against them.

(iv) Any other such relief which this Hon'ble Court deem fit and proper may also be passed in favour of the Petitioner together with cost of the petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after registration of the FIR only one respondent No.8 has been arrested while respondent No.9 & 10 have not been arrested by the police.
3. The petitioner is so aggrieved for not arresting other named person in the FIR, he may approach to the higher officials to complaint of the fact. At this stage, exercising the power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. to follow the duty of the police constable by the High Court is not expected. The petition has no merit and accordingly is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Goutam Bhaduri)
Judge