

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWPS No. 1125 of 2019

1. Lalit Kumar Sahu S/o Late Shri Uday Singh Sahu Aged About 46 Years Working As Lecturer E.(L B) And Posted At Govt. Higher Secondary School Chhachhanpairi, Block Abhanpur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Keshav Prasad Sahu S/o Pahru Ram Sahu Aged About 49 Years Working As Lecturer E.(L B) And Posted At Gurughasidas Govt. Higher Secondary School Kasdol, Block Kasdol, District Baloda Bazar Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
3. Shyam Kumar Verma S/o Late Shri Kunjlal Verma Aged About 51 Years Working As Lecturer E.(L B) And Posted At Govt. Matrisadan Higher Secondary School Mandir Hasaud, Block Arang, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Smt. Saroj Yadav W/o Late Madan Mohan Yadav Aged About 50 Years Working As Lecturer E.(L B) And Posted At Govt. High School Barouda, Block Dharsiwa, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Department Of School Education, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Secretary Department Of Panchayat, State Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Director Directorate Chhattisgarh Public Instruction Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. District Education Officer Baloda Bazar, District Baloda Bazar-Bhatapara Chhattisgarh.
5. District Education Officer Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---Respondents

For petitioners	:	Shri U.P.S. Sahu, Advocate
For State	:	Shri Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam KoshyOrder on Board20/02/2019.

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the instant Writ Petition is that they were initially appointed in the Panchayat Department and had put in about

more than 8 years of service, their services have been absorbed with the Education Department.

2. According to the counsel for the petitioners, they have already put in more than 10 years of service and as such they are entitled for the benefit of Kramonnati/Higher Pay-Scale which the respondents have till date not considered.

3. Given the limited prayer which has been raised by the petitioners, let the petitioners make a fresh representation in addition to the representation if any they have made to the respondent No. 1 ,4 & 5 who in turn shall consider the same and pass an appropriate order in accordance with the rules and regulations governing the field.

4. Let this exercise be completed within a period of 90 days from the date the petitioners make a fresh representation.

5. The Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE